BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION C

Pada VED

COMMISSIONERS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

2001 REG 12 A 10: RU

MIKE GLEASON, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL JEFF HATCH-MILLER KRISTIN K. MAYES **GARY PIERCE**

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF LAS QUINTAS SERENAS WATER CO. FOR A RATE INCREASE.

DOCKET NO. W-01583A-04-0178

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF LAS QUINTAS SERENAS WATER CO. FOR AUTHORITY TO INCUR LONG-TERM INDEBTEDNESS TO FINANCE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS AND ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH NEW ARSENIC RULES. DOCKET NO. W-01583A-05-0326

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF LAS QUINTAS SERENAS WATER CO. FOR AN OPINION AND ORDER TO (i) RE-OPEN THE RECORD IN A RECENT RATE CASE SO AS TO CONSIDER EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF AN ARSENIC COST RECOVERY MECHANISM, AND (ii) MODIFY RATE CASE DECISION IN ORDER TO ADD AN ARSENIC COST RECOVERY MECHANISM AS AN AUTHORIZED RATE AND CHARGE.

DOCKET NO. W-01583A-05-0340

"EXCEPTIONS" TO RECOMMENDED OPINION AND ORDER

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), Las Quintas Serenas Water Co. ("LQS") hereby submits this "Exception" to the Recommended Opinion and Order ("ROO") prepared by Administrative Law Judge Jane L. Rodda in the above-captioned and above-docketed proceedings.

DISCUSSION

Finding of Fact No. 20 in the ROO provides:



LAWRENCE V. ROBERTSON, JR.
ATTORNEY AT LAW
P.O. Box 1448
Tubac, Arizona 85646
(520) 398-0411

18 19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

Iubac, Arizona 85046

"20. Because the ACRM surcharge is assessed on a per meter basis, the Company would not collect the ACRM surcharge from the fire sprinkler connections as there are not meters." [emphasis added]

In addition, Finding of Fact No. 30 states as follows:

"30. Fire sprinkler service does not utilize water on a daily basis, and thus does not place a daily demand for water on the system. The implementation of a fire sprinkler service would not significantly increase the Company's cost of providing water treated for arsenic. The additional fire sprinkler connections were not factored in when the Commission approved the ACRM surcharge. Thus, the fact that the Company will not recover the ACRM surcharge from the fire sprinkler service should not disadvantage the Company." [emphasis added]

Against this background, it is quite apparent that LQS would not be authorized to collect the ACRM (a/k/a ARSM) surcharge in the future from customers who receive service under the Fire Sprinkler Service Tariff. However, the ROO does not contain an Ordering Paragraph which expressly so provides.

Accordingly, and with the objective of making the Commission's intent in this regard clear to all who thereafter may have occasion to read the Commission's decision in this matter, LQS recommends that the ROO be amended to add the following Ordering Paragraph, with the same to be inserted beginning at line 3.5 of page 8 of the ROO:

"IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Las Quintas Serenas Water Company shall not recover the ACRM surcharge after January 1, 2008 from customers who qualify for and receive service under the Fire Sprinkler Tariff as to service provided thereunder."

LQS believes that the addition of this suggested Ordering Paragraph will facilitate its future ability to explain to inquiring customers why some customers are being charged the ACRM surcharge while others are not. In that regard, LQS believes that its proposed Ordering Paragraph could be added to the ROO for consideration by the Commission at the forthcoming December 18-19, 2007 Open Meeting by means of an amendment from the Commission's Hearing Division, in the event that the Hearing Division believes that LQS' suggestion is constructive.

27

28

	1		
	2	Dated this 11 th day of December 2007.	
	3	Dated this 11 day of December 2007.	Respectfully submitted,
	3		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	4		L's Lobost. V sussemas
	5		Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.
			P. O. Box 1448
	6		Tubac, Arizona 85646 Attorney for Las Quintas Serenas Water Co.
	7		recorney for Las Quintas Serenas Water Co.
	8	Original and seventeen (17) copies of the	
	9	Exception to the ROO filed this 11 th day of December 2007 with:	
	10	of December 2007 with.	
	10	Docket Control	
	11	Arizona Corporation Commission	
	12	1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
	12	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
	13	A copy of the same served by e-mail or first	
-0411	14	class mail this same date to:	
(520) 398-0411		77 7 7 D 11	V.1. G
(520	15	Hon. Jane L. Rodda Hearing Division	John Gay 1241 W. Calle De La Plaza
	16	Arizona Corporation Commission	Sahuarita, Arizona 85629
		400 West Congress, Suite 218	Samuarita, Arizona 65029
	17	Tucson, Arizona 85701	Robert Brown
	10	1 00001,1 11120110 00 / 01	Unity Church of Green Valley
	18	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel	P. O. Box 2229
	19	Legal Division	Green Valley, Arizona 85662
		Arizona Corporation Commission	
	20	1200 W. Washington Street	James R. Stahle
	21	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	Town of Sahuarita
			725 W. Via Rancho Sahuarita
	22	Ernest Johnson, Director Utilities Division	P. O. Box 879
	23	Arizona Corporation Commission	Sahuarita, Arizona 85629
	23	1200 West Washington Street	Las Quintas Serenas Water Co.
	24	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	P. O. Box 68
	ا ا	A 4	Sahuarita, Arizona 85629
	25	AR	
	26	HU!	
	27		